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## Motivation

- The goal of MOBILIZE Boston study (MBS) is to find risk factors of falls in elderly adults
- Huge amount of MBS data sets of center of pressure (COP) is ready
- We already developed a novel tool to analyze COP


We apply this tool to MBS data set and see if this tool can be used as a prediction model for fall risks

## MOBILIZE Boston Study (MBS)

- A National Institute of Aging (NIA) funded program
- A prospective cohort study of a unique set of risk factors for falls in seniors in the Boston area
- 765 elderly persons aged 70 and older participated in the study as of January 2008


Mobilize Boston
Community Senior Health Study


## MBS data collection

- Home interview
- Chronic conditions, pain, falls, cognition, depression, and etc.
- First clinic visit: baseline data collection
- Second clinic visit: 18 month follow-up
- Data collected during clinic visit
- Balance, mobility performance, muscle strength, vision, and etc.
- Monthly fall occurrence calendar

SG Leveille et al. BMC Geriatr 8:16, 2008

## Invariant Density Analysis (IDA)

- Analyze COP dynamics using stochastic approach (Markov chains)
- Describe COP fluctuations with probability distributions of transitioning from one state to another
- Long term COP behavior can be captured by the "invariant density" ( $\pi$ ) i.e., stationary/steady-state probability distribution


## Algorithm to get ip

- Find centroid of COP
- Zero mean adjustment
- Define states as concentric rir emanating from centroid (states separated by 0.2 mm )
- Construct the transition matrix ( $\mathbf{P}$ )
- $\mathbf{P}$ contains probabilities of transitioning from one state to transitioning from one state to
another
- Solve for the invariant density ( $\pi$ )

$$
\pi=\pi P
$$

Next state

$$
1 \quad 2 \quad \ldots
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## Invariant density plot

## Parameters



Plots of invariant density distributions ( $\pi$ ) for young and older adults [Hur 2009]

Ppeak - Probability of being in the state with maximum likelihood

MeanDist - average state of COP sway
D95 - state below which $95 \%$ of COP points occur
$E V 2-2^{\text {nd }}$ largest eigenvalue, rate of convergence to $\pi$

Entropy - randomness of system (high $\rightarrow$ more random, $\left.-\Sigma \pi(i) \log _{2} \pi(i)\right)$

P Hur et. al. ASME Summer Bio Conf, Lake Tahoe, CA. June, 2009

## Experimental protocol

- Quiet standing (QS) on forceplate (Kistler) with sampling rate of 240 Hz
- Ten 30 sec trials with eyes open
- Five for normal QS,
- Five for dual cognitive task with serial subtraction by 3
- We only used normal QS data for the analysis


## Classification of Recurrent fallers

- Recurrent fallers : subjects with more than two falls within a year of study
- Non-recurrent fallers : subjects with o or 1 fall



## Results

- Non-recurrent fallers more tend to stay within certain state (Ppeak)
- Recurrent fallers are likely to sway more away from centroid (MeanDist)
- Recurrent fallers wander wider (D95)

|  | Non recurrent <br> fallers | Recurrent <br> fallers | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ppeak | $0.047 \pm 0.0001$ | $0.043 \pm 0.001$ | 0.007 |
| MeanDist | $3.53 \pm 0.06$ | $3.98 \pm 0.14$ | 0.001 |
| D95 | $8.43 \pm 0.15$ | $9.56 \pm 0.33$ | $<0.001$ |

## Results

- Recurrent fallers sway in more random manner (Entropy) $\rightarrow$ It may imply recurrent fallers have less degree of active control to keep COP close to centroid
- Even though not statistically significant, it may be suggested that COP of recurrent fallers converge more slowly to a steady-state behavior (EV2)

|  | Non recurrent <br> fallers | Recurrent <br> fallers | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entropy | $5.33 \pm 0.025$ | $5.47 \pm 0.038$ | 0.001 |
| EV2 | $0.9992 \pm 10^{-5}$ | $0.9993 \pm 10^{-5}$ | 0.072 |

## Conclusion and future work

- IDA can successfully differentiate RF from NF.
- COP of RF were found to fluctuate in a more random behavior than NF.
- We will develop a fall risk estimation model using multiple linear regression model.
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